



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW Feb-08

DLA PIPER US LLP
2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE
E. PALO ALTO CA 94303-2248

COPY MAILED

FEB 29 2008

In re Application of :
Dana Corbo :
Application Number: 09/899711 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filing Date: 07/05/2001 : C
Attorney Docket Number: 356543- :
3 :
:

This is a decision on the petition filed on January 24, 2008,
under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified
application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on November 9, 2006, for failure
to file a timely submit an Appeal Brief in response to the Notice
of Appeal filed on September 8, 2006, which set a two (2) month
shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time
for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained.
Notice of Abandonment was mailed on May 17, 2007.

Petitioner has filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay
in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a
lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37
CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application
abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing
application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995,
and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a
request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent,
abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply
must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application,
abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the
publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for
the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.
The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay
was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to
37 CFR 1.137(c)).

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3714 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

DJ Wood
Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions